



# Environmental Product Declaration

## Statement of Verification

CARES EPD No.: 0080

Issue 01

This is to verify that the  
**Environmental Product Declaration**

Provided by:  
Kardemir Çelik Sanayi A.Ş.

Is in accordance with the requirements of:  
EN ISO 14025:2010 and EN 15804:2012 + A2:2019/AC2021  
and CARES PCR for Type III EPD of Semi-Finished and Finished  
Steel Products, February 2025

This declaration is for:  
Carbon Steel Reinforcing Bar (Secondary production route - Scrap)



## Company address:

Bozköy Mah. 2 CAD. No:24,  
Aliğa  
Izmir, 35800  
Türkiye

**kardemir**  
Çelik Sanayi A.Ş.



*Ladin Camci*

Ladin Camci

24 May 2026

Signed for CARES

Operator

Date of this Issue

24 May 2026

23 May 2029

First Issue Date

Expiry Date

The validity of this Environmental Product Declaration can be verified by contacting CARES on +44 (0)1732 450 000 or visiting CARES website <https://www.carescertification.com/certification-schemes/environmental-product-declarations>.

CARES, Pembroke House, 21 Pembroke Road, Sevenoaks, Kent TN13 1XR



# Environmental Product Declaration

## Environmental Product Declaration

EPD Number: CARES EPD 0080

### General Information

<b>EPD Programme Operator</b>	CARES Pembroke House, 21 Pembroke Road, Sevenoaks, Kent, TN13 1XR UK <a href="http://www.carescertification.com">www.carescertification.com</a>
<b>Applicable Product Category Rules</b>	CARES Product Category Rules (PCR) for Type III Environmental Product Declaration (EPD) of Semi-Finished and Finished Steel Products, February 2025
<b>Commissioner of LCA study</b>	CARES Pembroke House, 21 Pembroke Road, Sevenoaks, Kent, TN13 1XR UK <a href="http://www.carescertification.com">www.carescertification.com</a>
<b>LCA consultant/Tool</b>	CARES EPD Tool version 3.0 SPHERA SOLUTIONS UK LIMITED The Innovation Centre Warwick Technology Park, Gallows Hill, Warwick, Warwickshire CV34 6UW UK <a href="http://www.sphera.com">www.sphera.com</a>
<b>Declared/Functional Unit</b>	1 tonne of carbon steel reinforcing bars manufactured by the secondary (scrap-based) production route
<b>Applicability/Coverage</b>	Manufacturer-specific product produced at a single plant of one manufacturer
<b>EPD Type</b>	Cradle to Gate with options, Modules C1-C4, and Module D
<b>Background database</b>	MLC (GaBi) Databases 2025.1 (Sphera, 2025)

### Demonstration of Verification

CEN standard EN 15804 serves as the core PCR <sup>a</sup>

Independent verification of the declaration and data according to EN ISO 14025:2010

Internal  External

(Where appropriate <sup>b</sup>) Third party verifier:  
Dr Jane Anderson

a: Product category rules

b: Optional for business-to-business communication; mandatory for business-to-consumer communication (see EN ISO 14025:2010, 9.4)



# Environmental Product Declaration

## Comparability

Environmental product declarations from different programmes may not be comparable if not compliant with EN 15804:2012+A2:2019/AC2021. Comparability is further dependent on the specific product category rules, system boundaries and allocations, and background data sources. See Clause 5.3 of EN 15804:2012+A2:2019/AC2021 for further guidance

## Information modules covered

Product Stage			Construction Stage		Use Stage							End-of-life Stage				Benefits and loads beyond the system boundary
A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	B6	B7	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
Raw materials supply	Transport	Manufacturing	Transport to site	Construction – Installation	Use	Maintenance	Repair	Replacement	Refurbishment	Operational energy use	Operational water use	Deconstruction demolition	Transport	Waste processing	Disposal	Reuse, Recovery and/or Recycling potential
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

Note: Checks indicate the Information Modules declared.

## Manufacturing site

Kardemir Çelik Sanayi A.Ş.  
Bozköy Mah. 2 CAD. No:24, Aliağa  
İzmir, 35800  
Türkiye

## Construction Product:

### Product Description

The product consists of steel reinforcing bars (rebar) with a ribbed surface profile, manufactured in accordance with the standards listed in the References section. Reinforcing bars provide the tensile capacity that concrete lacks, enabling reinforced concrete to resist tension, bending, and cyclic loads while maintaining ductility and structural integrity. Their deformed surface ensures reliable bond and load transfer to the surrounding concrete in elements such as beams, slabs, columns, and foundations for buildings and civil engineering works.

In accordance with the requirements of EN 15804+A2, it is declared that the reinforcing steel bars and their associated packaging materials (steel wires or straps) are composed entirely of inorganic metallic substances and do not contain any biogenic carbon.

The declared unit is 1 tonne of carbon steel reinforcing bars manufactured by the Secondary (scrap based) production route.



# Environmental Product Declaration

## Technical Information

Property	Value, Unit
Production route	Scrap - EAF
Density	7850 kg/m <sup>3</sup>
Modulus of elasticity	200000 N/mm <sup>2</sup>
Weldability (C <sub>eq</sub> )	max 0.50 %
Yield strength (as per BS 4449:2005+A3:2016)	min 500 N/mm <sup>2</sup> – max 650 N/mm <sup>2</sup>
Tensile strength (as per BS 4449:2005+A3:2016)	min 540 N/mm <sup>2</sup> (Tensile strength/Yield Strength ≥ 1.08)
Agt (% total elongation at maximum force as per BS 4449:2005+A3:2016)	min 5 %
Surface geometry (Relative rib area, fR as per BS 4449:2005+A3:2016)	min 0.040 for Bar Size >6mm & ≤12mm & min 0.056 for Bar size >12
Re-bend test (as per BS 4449:2005+A3:2016)	Pass
Fatigue test (as per BS 4449:2005+A3:2016)	Pass
Recycled content (as per ISO 14021:2016/Amd:2021) <sup>2)</sup>	97.3%

- 1) Technical Information details are as per relevant product standards listed in References section.  
2) Including internal and external scrap

## Main Product Contents

Material/Chemical Input	%
Fe	97
C, Mn, Si, V, Ni, Cu, Cr, Mo and others	3

## Manufacturing Process

Steel scrap constitutes the primary metallic charge and is melted in an Electric Arc Furnace (EAF). Where required, the charge is supplemented with Direct Reduced Iron (DRI) and/or Pig Iron to dilute residual tramp elements and meet product quality specifications. The molten steel is transferred to a Ladle Furnace (LF) for secondary metallurgical refining, which encompasses chemical composition adjustment, temperature homogenisation, and inclusion control. The refined liquid steel is then continuously cast into square billets via a Continuous Casting Machine (CCM).


A minor proportion of the billet input (~0.1% by mass) is sourced externally from third-party producers operating via the primary steelmaking route, in which iron ore is reduced in a Blast Furnace (BF) and the resulting hot metal is refined into steel in a Basic Oxygen Furnace (BOF) before being continuously cast into billets. These externally purchased billets are delivered to the Kardemir Çelik Sanayi A.Ş. plant for direct use in hot rolling. The upstream environmental burdens associated with their production are fully included within the declared system boundary.

Both internally produced and externally purchased billets are charged into a billet reheating furnace and brought to the required rolling temperature. The heated billets are then processed through the Kardemir Çelik Sanayi A.Ş. hot rolling mill, where they are progressively reduced in cross-section through a series of roughing, intermediate, and finishing mill stands to achieve the specified diameter, surface geometry, and mechanical properties of the finished reinforcing bar. Following the finishing pass, the bars undergo controlled cooling including quench-and-self-tempering to develop the required yield strength and ductility class. The cooled bars are cut to length on a cold shear and collected on a cooling bed before being straightened and bundled.

Reinforcing bars are packaged into bundles and secured with steel wire or steel strap binding. Neither the reinforcing bar products nor the binding materials contain any biogenic content.



## Process flow diagram



## Construction Installation

Processing and proper use of reinforcing steel products depends on the application and should be made in accordance with generally accepted practices, standards and manufacturing recommendations.

During transport and storage of reinforcing steel products the usual requirement for securing loads is to be observed.

## Use Information

The composition of the reinforcing steel products does not change during use.

Reinforcing steel products do not cause adverse health effects under normal conditions of use.

No risks to the environment and living organisms are known to result from the mechanical destruction of the reinforcing steel product itself.

## End of Life

Reinforcing steel products are not reused at end of life but can be recycled to the same (or higher/lower) quality of steel depending upon the metallurgy and processing of the recycling route.

It is a high value resource, so efforts are made to recycle steel scrap rather than disposing of it at EoL. A recycling rate of 92% is typical for reinforcing steel products



## Life Cycle Assessment Calculation Rules

This EPD uses the "Cut-off by Classification" method, also known as the recycled content method. It assigns the environmental impacts of primary material production to the initial user. Recyclable materials enter the recycling process without burdens, and secondary materials only bear the impacts of recycling.

This method promotes recycling by making producers responsible for waste management. It supports a circular economy by reducing the environmental impacts of primary material production.

This approach follows ISO 14040 and ISO 14044 standards for Life Cycle Assessments.

The Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA) has been carried out using the characterisation method described in EN 15804+A2. For all indicators the characterisation factors from the Environmental Footprint v3.1 (EF 3.1) was applied.

## Declared unit description

1 tonne of carbon steel reinforcing bars manufactured by the secondary (scrap-based) production route.

## System boundary

The system boundary of the EPD follows the modular design defined by EN 15804+A2. Type of this EPD is Cradle to Gate with options, Modules C1-C4, and Module D.

Impacts and aspects related to losses/wastage (i.e. production, transport and waste processing and end-of-life stage of lost waste products and materials) are considered in the modules in which the losses/wastage occur.

Once steel scrap has been collected for recycling it is considered to have reached the end of waste state.

## Data sources, quality and allocation

Data Sources and Quality:

The selection of data and the data quality requirements have been provided according to the requirements of BS EN 15941:2024.

Data Sources: Manufacturing data of the high tensile steel strand products for the prestressing of concrete covering the period 01/01/2025 - 31/12/2025 has been provided by Kardemir Çelik Sanayi A.Ş. operating on the geographical area noted in Manufacturing Site. A brief description of technology and inputs for the product is given in Manufacturing Process and in simplified Process Flow Diagram.

The primary data collection was thorough, considering all relevant flows and these data were verified by CARES, including also the verification of mass balance, to ensure that data for all the inputs and outputs for the process over the period of data collection have been collected, and that the unit process data will comply with the cut-off rules of EN 15804:2012+A2:2019/AC2021. The EPD covers transport to, and end-of-life in Türkiye.

The selection of the background data for electricity generation is in line with the CARES PCR 2025. Country or region-specific power grid mixes are selected from MLC (GaBi) Databases 2025.1 (Sphera, 2025); thus, consumption grid mix of Türkiye has been selected to suit specific manufacturing location, and also for fabrication, installation and demolishing location. The emission factor of carbon footprint of the applied consumption grid mix of Türkiye is 0.559 kg CO<sub>2</sub> eq/kWh.

Data Quality: Background data is consistently sourced from the MLC (GaBi) Databases 2025.1 (Sphera, 2025). The primary data collection was thorough, considering all relevant flows and these data have been verified during the audit conducted by CARES in January 2026.

There isn't any data from different LCI/LCA databases are used considering that the overall consistency of the study is not adversely affected.

Schemes applied for data quality assessment was as per EN 15804:2012+A2:2019/AC2021, Annex E, Table E.1 — Data quality level and criteria of the UN Environment Global Guidance on LCA database development. No poor or very poor data was found during the assessment of relevant data.

Data quality level and criteria of the UN Environment Global Guidance on LCA database development:

Geographical Representativeness	: Good
Technical Representativeness	: Very good
Time Representativeness	: Good



# Environmental Product Declaration

## Allocation:

Electric Arc Furnace slag and mill scale are produced as co-products from the steel manufacturing processes. Impacts are allocated between the steel, the slag and the mill scale based on economic value. The revenue generated from both mill scale, and induction furnace slag are 0.05% and 0.29% respectively, and their total is less than 1% in relation to the product based on current market prices, these co-products are of definite value and are freely/readily traded in reality. For this reason, economic allocation has been applied to the processes where these co-products arise.

Production losses of steel during the production process are recycled in a closed loop offsetting the requirement for external scrap. Specific information on allocation within the background data is given in the MLC (GaBi) Databases 2025.1 (Sphera, 2025).

## Cut-off criteria

On the input side all flows entering the system and comprising more than 1% in total mass or contributing more than 1% to primary energy consumption are considered. All inputs used as well as all process-specific waste and process emissions were assessed. For this reason, material streams which were below 1% (by mass) were captured as well. In this manner the cut-off criteria according to the PCR requirements are fulfilled).

The mass of steel wire or strap used for binding the product bundle is less than 1 % of the total mass of the product.



# Environmental Product Declaration

## LCA Results

(ND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

Core environmental impact indicators									
Life Cycle Stage	Impact Category		GWP-total	GWP-fossil	GWP-biogenic	GWP-luluc	ODP	AP	EP-freshwater
			kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq	kg CFC11 eq	mol H <sup>+</sup> eq	Kg P eq
Product stage	Raw material supply	A1	244	244	-0.240	0.198	4.87E-10	0.808	8.84E-05
	Transport	A2	48.9	48.8	0.054	0.056	4.76E-12	1.45	2.54E-05
	Manufacturing	A3	501	499	1.48	0.897	3.03E-09	1.34	3.56E-04
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	7.94E+02	7.92E+02	1.29	1.15	3.52E-09	3.60	4.70E-04
Construction process stage	Transport	A4	25.4	25.1	0.048	0.266	3.04E-12	0.038	6.96E-05
	Construction	A5	95.4	95.0	0.170	0.213	4.22E-10	0.405	7.39E-05
Use stage	Use	B1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Repair	B3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	B6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>%92 Recycling / %8 Landfill Scenario</b>									
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	2.09	2.09	8.33E-04	6.83E-05	1.62E-13	0.012	2.52E-07
	Transport	C2	48.4	47.8	0.090	0.477	5.75E-12	0.120	1.26E-04
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	1.23	1.22	3.96E-05	0.005	3.40E-12	0.009	1.82E-06
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	501	500	-0.117	0.244	2.55E-10	1.150	1.75E-04
<b>100% Landfill Scenario</b>									
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	2.09	2.09	8.33E-04	6.83E-05	1.62E-13	0.012	2.52E-07
	Transport	C2	2.23	2.20	0.004	0.023	2.67E-13	0.003	6.11E-06
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	15.3	15.3	4.95E-04	0.063	4.25E-11	0.108	2.27E-05
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	2.51E+03	2.51E+03	-0.586	1.22	1.28E-09	5.77	8.76E-04
<b>100% Recycling Scenario</b>									
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	2.09	2.09	8.33E-04	6.83E-05	1.62E-13	0.012	2.52E-07
	Transport	C2	52.4	51.8	0.097	0.516	6.22E-12	0.131	1.36E-04
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	326	326	-0.076	0.159	1.66E-10	0.749	1.14E-04

GWP-total = Global warming potential, total;  
 GWP-fossil = Global warming potential, fossil;  
 GWP-biogenic = Global warming potential, biogenic;  
 GWP-luluc = Global warming potential, land use and land use change;

ODP = Depletion potential of the stratospheric ozone layer;  
 AP = Acidification potential, accumulated exceedance; and  
 EP-freshwater = Eutrophication potential, fraction of nutrients reaching freshwater end compartment



# Environmental Product Declaration

## LCA Results

(ND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

### Core environmental impact indicators

Life Cycle Stage	Impact Category		EP-marine	EP-terrestrial	POCP	ADP-mineral & metals	ADP-fossil	WDP
			kg N eq	mol N eq	kg NMVOC eq	kg Sb eq	MJ, net calorific value	m <sup>3</sup> world eq deprived
Product stage	Raw material supply	A1	0.145	1.58	0.435	4.58E-05	2.13E+03	15.3
	Transport	A2	0.343	3.75	0.979	1.44E-06	579	0.103
	Manufacturing	A3	0.291	3.81	0.809	2.94E-05	6.35E+03	191
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	0.779	9.14	2.22	7.66E-05	9.06E+03	2.06E+02
Construction process stage	Transport	A4	0.016	0.164	0.033	1.71E-06	329	0.103
	Construction	A5	0.091	1.07	0.255	8.70E-06	1.10E+03	25.6
Use stage	Use	B1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Repair	B3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	B6	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>%92 Recycling / %8 Landfill Scenario</b>								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	4.08E-03	0.045	0.011	2.94E-08	27.7	0.016
	Transport	C2	0.054	0.580	0.129	3.15E-06	626	0.191
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0.002	0.025	0.007	7.57E-08	16.0	0.132
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0.277	2.98	0.929	4.95E-06	3.79E+03	3.52
<b>100% Landfill Scenario</b>								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	4.08E-03	0.045	0.011	2.94E-08	27.7	0.016
	Transport	C2	1.40E-03	0.015	0.003	1.50E-07	28.8	0.009
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0.028	0.308	0.085	9.46E-07	200	1.65
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	1.39	14.9	4.66	2.48E-05	1.90E+04	17.6
<b>100% Recycling Scenario</b>								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	4.08E-03	0.045	0.011	2.94E-08	27.7	0.016
	Transport	C2	0.058	0.630	0.140	3.41E-06	678	0.207
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0.180	1.940	0.605	3.23E-06	2.47E+03	2.290

ADP-mineral&metals = Abiotic depletion potential for non-fossil resources;  
 ADP-fossil = Depletion potential of the stratospheric ozone layer;  
 WDP = Water (user) deprivation potential, deprivation-weighted water consumption.  
 The results of the three environmental impact indicators above shall be used with care as the uncertainties on these results are high or as there is limited experienced with these indicators.

EP-marine = Eutrophication potential, fraction of nutrients reaching marine end compartment;  
 EP-terrestrial = Eutrophication potential, accumulated exceedance;  
 POCP = Formation potential of tropospheric ozone;  
 PM = Particulate matter.



# Environmental Product Declaration

## LCA Results

(ND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

### Parameters describing environmental impacts

Life Cycle Stage	Impact Category		PM	IRP	ETP-fw	HTP-c	HTP-nc	SQP
			disease incidence	kBq U <sup>235</sup> eq	CTUe	CTUh	CTUh	dimensionless
Product stage	Raw material supply	A1	9.69E-06	2.90	438	5.49E-08	1.50E-06	410
	Transport	A2	2.53E-05	0.095	460	6.89E-09	1.61E-07	31.2
	Manufacturing	A3	2.12E-05	1.70	6.61E+03	8.60E-08	2.14E-06	856
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	5.62E-05	4.70	7.51E+03	1.48E-07	3.80E-06	1.30E+03
Construction process stage	Transport	A4	3.76E-07	0.060	426	5.73E-09	3.23E-07	146
	Construction	A5	6.30E-06	0.501	1.03E+03	1.81E-08	5.14E-07	1.91E+02
Use stage	Use	B1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Repair	B3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	B6	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>%92 Recycling / %8 Landfill Scenario</b>								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	7.82E-08	5.77E-04	32.9	5.92E-10	7.53E-09	0.036
	Transport	C2	1.45E-06	0.113	792	1.07E-08	5.87E-07	262
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	1.08E-07	0.019	13.8	2.13E-10	7.98E-09	3.96
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	1.70E-05	-6.58	592	7.97E-07	-6.05E-07	-307
<b>100% Landfill Scenario</b>								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	7.82E-08	5.77E-04	32.9	5.92E-10	7.53E-09	0.036
	Transport	C2	3.23E-08	0.005	37.4	5.03E-10	2.84E-08	12.8
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	1.35E-06	0.235	173	2.67E-09	9.98E-08	49.5
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	8.50E-05	-33.0	2.97E+03	3.99E-06	-3.03E-06	-1.54E+03
<b>100% Recycling Scenario</b>								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	7.82E-08	5.77E-04	32.9	5.92E-10	7.53E-09	0.036
	Transport	C2	1.57E-06	0.123	858	1.16E-08	6.36E-07	284
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	1.10E-05	-4.29	385	5.19E-07	-3.94E-07	-200

IRP = Potential human exposure efficiency relative to U235; This impact category deals mainly with the eventual impact of low dose ionizing radiation on human health of the nuclear fuel cycle. It does not consider effects due to possible nuclear accidents, occupational exposure nor due to radioactive waste disposal in underground facilities. Potential ionizing radiation from the soil, from radon and from some construction materials is also not measured by this indicator.

HTP-nc = Potential comparative toxic unit for humans; and ETP-fw = Potential comparative toxic unit for ecosystems; HTP-c = Potential comparative toxic unit for humans; SQP = Potential soil quality index.

The results of the four environmental impact indicators above shall be used with care as the uncertainties on these results are high or as there is limited experienced with these indicators.



# Environmental Product Declaration

## LCA Results

(ND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

### Parameters describing resource use

Life Cycle Stage	Impact Category		PERE	PERM	PERT	PENRE	PENRM	PENRT
			MJ	MJ	MJ	MJ	MJ	MJ
Product stage	Raw material supply	A1	240	0	240	2.13E+03	0	2.13E+03
	Transport	A2	7.48	0	7.48	579	0	579
	Manufacturing	A3	2.88E+03	0	2.88E+03	6.35E+03	0	6.35E+03
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	3.13E+03	0	3.13E+03	9.06E+03	0	9.06E+03
Construction process stage	Transport	A4	24.2	0	24.2	329	0	329
	Construction	A5	3.86E+02	0	3.86E+02	1.10E+03	0	1.10E+03
Use stage	Use	B1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Repair	B3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	B6	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>%92 Recycling / %8 Landfill Scenario</b>								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.056	0	0.056	27.7	0	27.7
	Transport	C2	43.6	0	43.6	626	0	626
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	3.09	0	3.09	16.0	0	16.0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-598	0	-598	3.79E+03	0	3.79E+03
<b>100% Landfill Scenario</b>								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.056	0	0.056	27.7	0	27.7
	Transport	C2	2.12	0	2.12	28.8	0	28.8
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	38.7	0	38.7	200	0	200
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-2.99E+03	0	-2.99E+03	1.90E+04	0	1.90E+04
<b>100% Recycling Scenario</b>								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.056	0	0.056	27.7	0	27.7
	Transport	C2	47.2	0	47.2	678	0	678
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-389	0	-389	2.47E+03	0	2.47E+03

PERE = Use of renewable primary energy excluding renewable primary energy used as raw materials;  
 PERM = Use of renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials;  
 PERT = Total use of renewable primary energy resources;

PENRE = Use of non-renewable primary energy excluding non-renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials;  
 PENRM = Use of non-renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials;  
 PENRT = Total use of non-renewable primary energy resource



# Environmental Product Declaration

## LCA Results

(ND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

Parameters describing resource use						
Life Cycle Stage	Impact Category		SM	RSF	NRSF	FW
			kg	MJ net calorific value	MJ net calorific value	m <sup>3</sup>
Product stage	Raw material supply	A1	1.90	0	0	0.497
	Transport	A2	0	0	0	0.006
	Manufacturing	A3	1.19E+03	0	0	4.98
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	1.19E+03	0	0	5.48
Construction process stage	Transport	A4	0	0	0	0.012
	Construction	A5	118	0	0	0.645
Use stage	Use	B1	0	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0	0
	Repair	B3	0	0	0	0
	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0
	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	B6	0	0	0	0
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0	0
<b>%92 Recycling / %8 Landfill Scenario</b>						
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	0	0	4.04E-04
	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0.021
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0.004
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	1.03E+03	0	0	0.291
<b>100% Landfill Scenario</b>						
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	0	0	4.04E-04
	Transport	C2	0	0	0	1.02E-03
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0.048
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0	0	0	1.46
<b>100% Recycling Scenario</b>						
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	0	0	4.04E-04
	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0.023
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	1.12E+03	0	0	0.189

SM = Use of secondary material;  
RSF = Use of renewable secondary fuels;

NRSF = Use of non-renewable secondary fuels;  
FW = Net use of fresh water



# Environmental Product Declaration

## LCA Results

(ND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

### Other environmental information describing waste categories

Life Cycle Stage	Impact Category		HWD	NHWD	RWD
			kg	kg	kg
Product stage	Raw material supply	A1	4.32E-07	3.79	0.029
	Transport	A2	2.02E-08	0.050	6.95E-04
	Manufacturing	A3	3.34E-06	58.7	0.016
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	3.79E-06	62.5	0.045
Construction process stage	Transport	A4	1.19E-08	0.043	4.32E-04
	Construction	A5	4.57E-07	15.9	0.005
Use stage	Use	B1	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0
	Repair	B3	0	0	0
	Replacement	B4	0	0	0
	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	B6	0	0	0
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0
<b>%92 Recycling / %8 Landfill Scenario</b>					
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	4.71E-10	0.004	7.85E-06
	Transport	C2	2.26E-08	0.081	8.18E-04
	Waste processing	C3			
	Disposal	C4	3.51E-09	80.1	1.70E-04
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-1.42E-06	7.70	-0.063
<b>100% Landfill Scenario</b>					
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	4.71E-10	0.004	7.85E-06
	Transport	C2	1.04E-09	0.004	3.80E-05
	Waste processing	C3			
	Disposal	C4	4.38E-08	1.00E+03	0.002
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-7.12E-06	38.6	-0.316
<b>100% Recycling Scenario</b>					
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	4.71E-10	0.004	7.85E-06
	Transport	C2	2.45E-08	0.087	8.86E-04
	Waste processing	C3			
	Disposal	C4			
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-9.25E-07	5.01	-0.041

HWD = Hazardous waste disposed;  
 NHWD = Non-hazardous waste disposed;  
 RWD = Radioactive waste disposed



# Environmental Product Declaration

## LCA Results

(ND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

### Other environmental information describing output flows – at end of life

Life Cycle Stage	Impact Category		CRU	MFR	MER	EE	Biogenic carbon (product)	Biogenic carbon (packaging)
			kg	kg	kg	MJ per energy carrier	kg C	kg C
Product stage	Raw material supply	A1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Transport	A2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Manufacturing	A3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction process stage	Transport	A4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Construction	A5	0	1.10E+02	0	0	0	0
Use stage	Use	B1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Maintenance	B2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Repair	B3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Replacement	B4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Refurbishment	B5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational energy use	B6	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Operational water use	B7	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>%92 Recycling / %8 Landfill Scenario</b>								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	920	0	0	0	0
	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>100% Landfill Scenario</b>								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>100% Recycling Scenario</b>								
End of life	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	1.00E+03	0	0	0	0
	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0	0	0	0	0	0

CRU = Components for reuse;  
MFR = Materials for recycling

MER = Materials for energy recovery;  
EE = Exported Energy



## Scenarios and additional technical information

Scenarios and additional technical information			
Scenario	Parameter	Units	Results
Module A4 Transport to the Building Site	On leaving the steelworks the reinforcing steel products are transported to a fabricator where they are converted into constructional steel forms suitable for the installation site, then transported on to the construction site, including provision of all materials and products. Road transport distance for rolled steel to fabricators and road transport distance for steel construction forms to site are assumed to be 100 km and 250 km, respectively. Only the one-way distance is considered as it is assumed that the logistics companies will optimise their distribution and not return empty in modules beyond A3.		
	Truck trailer - Fuel	litre/km	1.56
	Distance	km	350
	Capacity utilisation (including empty returns)	%	61
	Bulk density of transported products	kg/m <sup>3</sup>	7850
Module A5 Installation in the Building	The fabrication process is a relatively simple unit process and accounts for the transformation of the rolled steel product into construction steel forms. The operations in this unit process are primarily cutting and welding. As such, other inputs to the process include electricity, thermal energy, and cutting gases. Other outputs of this process are steel scrap and wastewater (where applicable). Consumption grid mix of Türkiye has been selected to suit specific fabrication and installation location. Fabrication into structural steel products and installation in the building; including provision of all materials, products, and energy, as well as waste processing up to the end-of-waste state or disposal of final residues during the construction stage. Installation of the fabricated product into the building is assumed to result in 10% wastage (determined based on typical installation losses reported by the WRAP Net Waste Tool [WRAP 2017]). It is assumed that fabrication requires 15.34 kWh/tonne finished product, and that there is a 2% wastage associated with this process.		
	Ancillary materials for installation - Waste material from fabrication, losses per tonne of construction steel forms	%	2
	Energy Use - Energy per tonne required to fabricate construction steel forms	kWh	15.34
	Waste materials from installation wastage	%	10
Module B2 Maintenance	No maintenance required.		
Module B3 Repair	No repair process required.		
Module B4 Replacement	No replacement considerations required.		
Module B5 Refurbishment	No refurbishment process required.		
Reference Service Life	Reinforcing steel products are used in the main building structure so the reference service life will equal the lifetime of the building. BS EN 1990 specifies "building structures and other common structures" as having a lifetime of 50 years. On this basis, the RSL for this EPD is assumed to be 50 years.		
Module B6 Use of Energy	No energy required during use stage related to the operation of the building.		
Module B7 Use of Water	No water required during use stage related to the operation of the building.		
Modules C1 to C4 End of life	The end-of-life stage starts when the construction product is replaced, dismantled or deconstructed from the building or construction works and does not provide any further function. The recovered steel is transported for recycling while a small portion is assumed to be unrecoverable and remains in the rubble which is sent to landfill. 92% of the high-tensile prestressed steel strand is assumed to be recycled and 8% is sent to landfill [STEELCONSTRUCTION.INFO 2012]. The EPD covers transport to, and end-of-life in Türkiye. Once steel scrap is generated through the deconstruction activities on the demolition site it is considered to have reached the "end of waste" state. No further processing is required so there are no impacts associated with this module. Hence no impacts are reported in module C3.		
	Waste for recycling - Recovered steel from crushed concrete	%	92
	Waste for energy recovery - Energy recovery is not considered for this study as most end-of-life steel scrap is recycled, while the remainder is landfilled	-	-
	Waste for final disposal - Unrecoverable steel lost in crushed concrete and sent to landfill	%	8
	Portion of energy assigned to rebar from energy required to demolish building, per tonne	MJ	24
	Transport to waste processing by Truck - Fuel consumption	litre/km	1.56
	Transport to waste processing by Truck - Distance	km	463
	Transport to waste processing by Truck - Capacity utilisation	%	61
	Transport to waste processing by Truck - Density of Product	kg/m <sup>3</sup>	7850



# Environmental Product Declaration

## Scenarios and additional technical information

Scenario	Parameter	Units	Results
	Transport to waste processing by Container ship - Fuel consumption	litre/km	0.0041
	Transport to waste processing by Container ship - Distance	km	158
	Transport to waste processing by Container ship – Capacity utilisation	%	53
	Transport to waste processing by Container ship – Density of Product	kg/m <sup>3</sup>	7850
Module D	<p>It is assumed that 92% of the steel used in the structure is recovered for recycling, while the remainder is landfilled. "Benefits and loads beyond the system boundary" (module D) accounts for the environmental benefits and loads resulting from net steel scrap that is used as raw material in the steel plant and that is collected for recycling at end of life. The balance between total scrap arisings recycled from fabrication, installation and end of life and scrap consumed by the manufacturing process (internally sourced scrap is not included in this calculation). These benefits and loads are calculated by including the burdens of recycling and the benefit of avoided primary production.</p> <p>This study is concerned with billets manufacturers from the secondary (steel scrap) and the primary (BF-BOF) production routes. In secondary production route where the main metallic input is steel scrap, more scrap is required as input to the system than is recovered at end of life. Whereas in primary production route where the main metallic input is iron ore, a large amount of net scrap is generated over the life cycle as the iron ore is a virgin source and there is a high end of life recycling rate for reinforcing steel products. The net effect of this is that module D mainly models the burdens associated with the scrap input (secondary material) to the steelmaking process.</p> <p>The resulting scrap credit/burden is calculated based on the global "value of scrap" approach (/worldsteel 2011).</p>		
	Recycled Content	kg	973
	Re-used Content	kg	0
	Recovered for recycling	kg	920
	Recovered for re-use	kg	0
	Recovered for energy	kg	0



## Summary, comments and additional information

### Interpretation

Scrap based reinforcing steel product of Kardemir Çelik Sanayi A.Ş. is made via the Electric Arc Furnace production route. The bulk of the environmental impacts and primary energy demand is attributed to the manufacturing phase, covered by information modules A1-A3 of EN 15804:2012+A2:2019/AC2021.

The interpretation of the results has been carried out considering the methodology- and data-related assumptions and limitations declared in the EPD. This interpretation section focuses on the environmental impact categories as well as the primary energy demand indicators only.

#### Global Warming Potential (GWP)

The majority of the life cycle GWP impact occurs in the production phase (A1-A3). A1-A3 impacts account for 82.97% overall life cycle impacts for this category. The most significant contributions to production phase impacts are the upstream production of raw materials used in the steelmaking process, generation/supply of electricity and the production/use of fuels on site. Fabrication, installation and the end-of-life processes covered in C1-C4 make a minimal contribution to GWP. For overall climate change impacts, carbon dioxide emissions account for the majority of impacts with methane being the second most significant contributor.

#### Ozone Depletion Potential (ODP)

The majority of impacts are associated with the production phase (A1-3). Significant contributions to production phase impact come from the emission of ozone depleting substances during the upstream production of raw materials/pre-products as well as those arising from electricity production. Module D shows a very small credit even though scrap burdens are being assessed in this phase. This is explained because ODP emissions are linked to grid electricity production used.

#### Acidification Potential (AP)

Acidification potential is generally driven by the production of sulphur dioxide and nitrogen oxides through the combustion of fossil fuels, particularly coal and crude oil products. The majority of the lifecycle AP impact occurs in the production phase (A1-A3), similar to GWP. The major contributors to production phase AP impacts comes from energy resources used in the production of the raw materials and pre-products for the steelmaking process and from transportation. Fabrication, installation and the end-of-life processes classed under C1-C4 make minimal contributions.

#### Eutrophication Potential (EP)


Eutrophication is driven by nitrogen and phosphorus containing emissions and as with GWP and AP is often strongly linked with the use of fossil fuels. The major eutrophication impacts occur in the production phase (A1-A3). Significant contributions to production phase impact comes from the production of raw materials and transport. Fabrication, installation and the end-of-life processes classed under C1-C4 again make minimal contributions.

#### Photochemical Ozone Creation Potential (POCP)

POCP tends to be driven by emissions of carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>), sulphur dioxide and NMVOCs. The production phase is the dominant phase of the lifecycle with regards to POCP impacts. Again, these are all emissions commonly associated with the combustion of fuels. Significant contributors to POCP are the upstream production of raw materials/pre-products and transport, directly linked to fossil fuel combustion. It should be noted that the impacts for steel recycling in module D are almost of the same magnitude as the production phase impacts.



# Environmental Product Declaration



	GWP - Total	ODP	AP	EP (freshwater)	EP (marine)	EP (terrestrial)	POCP
■ A1-3	82.97%	90.65%	86.69%	64.19%	82.98%	83.58%	84.20%
■ A4	2.65%	0.08%	0.93%	9.51%	1.65%	1.50%	1.25%
■ A5	8.97%	9.03%	9.01%	8.80%	8.96%	8.98%	8.98%
■ C1	0.22%	0.00%	0.28%	0.03%	0.43%	0.41%	0.43%
■ C2	5.06%	0.15%	2.89%	17.22%	5.73%	5.30%	4.89%
■ C3	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
■ C4	0.13%	0.09%	0.21%	0.25%	0.24%	0.23%	0.26%

Figure 1 - shows the relative contribution of each life cycle stage to different environmental indicators for the carbon steel reinforcing bar products manufactured by the secondary (scrap based) production route

## Production Stage Contribution Analysis

Scope & indicator:

This analysis explains the production stage A1–A3 using Climate change – total (GWP-total) for the declared unit. It is an interpretive view of hotspots; the module-level tables in LCA Results remain the authoritative values.

Method: EN 15804+A2; CFs: EN 15804 reference package EF 3.1.

Results and reconciliation:

Values represent A1–A3 only. The sum of step contributions equals the A1–A3 Climate change – total reported in the LCA Results tables. Process steps are analytical groupings within A1–A3 and are provided for interpretation; the module-level values remain the authoritative results in the EPD.

Manufacturing Process Step	GWP-total kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq	Share of A1–A3 %
Steelmaking	631	79.5
Rolling	163	20.5
<b>Total (A1-A3)</b>	<b>794</b>	<b>100.0</b>



## References

BSI. Sustainability of construction works – Environmental product declarations – Core rules for the product category of construction products. EN 15804:2012+A2:2019/AC2021. London, BSI, 2019.

BSI. Environmental labels and declarations. Self-declared environmental claims (Type II environmental labelling). BS EN ISO 14021:2016+A1:2021. London, BSI, 2022

BSI. Environmental labels and declarations – Type III Environmental declarations – Principles and procedures. BS EN ISO 14025:2010 (exactly identical to ISO 14025:2006). London, BSI, 2010.

BSI. Environmental management – Life cycle assessment – Principles and framework. BS EN ISO BS EN ISO 14040:2006+A1:2020. London, BSI, 2020.

BSI. Environmental management – Life cycle assessment – requirements and guidelines. BS EN ISO 14044:2006+A2:2020. London, BSI, 2020.

BSI. Sustainability of construction works. Data quality for environmental assessment of products and construction work. Selection and use of data. BS EN 15941:2024. London, 2024.

BSI. Sustainability of construction works. Environmental product declarations. Communication format business-to-business. BS EN 15942:2021. London, 2021.

BSI. Eurocode. Basis of structural and geotechnical design. BS EN 1990:2023. London, 2023.

Demolition Energy Analysis of Office Building Structural Systems, Athena Sustainable Materials Institute, 1997

The Concrete Society, [Design working life \(concrete.org.uk\)](https://www.concrete.org.uk)

CARES: Product Category Rules (PCR) for Type III Environmental Product Declaration (EPD) of Semi-Finished and Finished Steel Products, Issue/Revision Date: February 2025

LCA for Experts (LCA FE) Software System and Managed Life cycle Content (MLC) Database for Life Cycle Engineering, Sphera Solutions GmbH, Leinfelden-Echterdingen, <https://lcadatabase.sphera.com/>, 2025

International Energy Agency (IEA) – Electricity grids and secure energy transitions: Enhancing the foundations of resilient, sustainable and affordable power systems, 2023), <https://iea.blob.core.windows.net/assets/ea2ff609-8180-4312-8de9-494bcf21696d/ElectricityGridsandSecureEnergyTransitions.pdf>

Kreißig, J. und J. Kümmel (1999): Baustoff-Ökobilanzen. Wirkungsabschätzung und Auswertung in der Steine-Erden-Industrie. Hrsg. Bundesverband Baustoffe Steine + Erden e.V.

U.S. Geological Survey, Mineral Commodity Summaries, Iron and Steel Slag, January 2014

SteelConstruction.info; The recycling and reuse survey, 2012  
[http://www.steelconstruction.info/The\\_recycling\\_and\\_reuse\\_survey](http://www.steelconstruction.info/The_recycling_and_reuse_survey)

Sustainability of construction works - Environmental product declarations - Methodology for selection and use of generic data; German version CEN/TR 15941

REGULATION (EU) No 305/2011 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 9 March 2011 laying down harmonised conditions for the marketing of construction products and repealing Council Directive 89/106/EEC

WRAP Net Waste Tool, Waste & Resources Action Programme (WRAP), 2013 [No longer available; formerly at [www.wrap.org.uk/nwtool](http://www.wrap.org.uk/nwtool)]

worldsteel Association - Life cycle inventory methodology report for steel products, 2017



# Environmental Product Declaration

CARES SRC Steel for the Reinforcement of Concrete Scheme. Appendix 1 – Quality and operations assessment schedule for carbon steel bars for the reinforcement of concrete including inspection and testing requirements - <https://www.carescertification.com/certified-companies/search> - Certificate number of conformance to BS4449 at the time of LCA study – 240701

BS 4449:2005+A3:2016 Steel for the reinforcement of concrete. Weldable reinforcing steel. Bar, coil and decoiled product. Specification.

ASTM A615/A615M – 24 Standard Specification for Deformed and Plain Carbon-Steel Bars for Concrete Reinforcement.

ASTM A706/A706M – 24 Standard Specification for Deformed and Plain Low-Alloy Steel Bars for Concrete Reinforcement.

TS 708:2016 - Steel for the reinforcement of concrete - Reinforcing steel.

SS 560:2016(2024) +A1:2024 - Specification for steel for the reinforcement of concrete – Weldable reinforcing steel – Bar, coil and decoiled product

CS2:2012 - Steel Reinforcing Bars for the Reinforcement of Concrete

ISO 6935-2:2019 - Steel for the reinforcement of concrete - Part 2: Ribbed bars.

EN 10080:2005 Steel for the reinforcement of concrete. Weldable reinforcing steel. General

DIN 488-2:2009 - Reinforcing steels - Reinforcing steel bars.